1		BEFORE THE ONTROL HEARINGS	BOARD		
2	STATE	OF WASHINGTON			
3	IN THE MATTER OF ACTIVE CONSTRUCTION, INC.,	)			
4		()	TD N -	01 07	
5	Appellant,	,	HB No.	·	
6	٧.				OF FACT,
7	PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY,	) ORI	DER		
8	Respondent.	ĺ			
9		<i>j</i>			

This matter, the appeal of a \$250 civil penalty for a violation of particulate emission standards without reasonable prevention of particulate matter from becoming airborne allegedly in violation of respondent's Regulation I, Section 9.15, came on for hearing before the Pollution Control Hearings Board on September 8, 1981, at Lacey, Washington. Respondent elected a formal hearing pursuant to RCW 43.218.230. Gayle Rothrock, Board Member, presided and was joined by Member David Akana, and Board Chairman, Nat W. Washington. Court reporter Kim Otis recorded the proceedings.

--

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW & ORDER

Appellant Walter H. Smith, President of Active Construction, Inc., appeared and represented himself. Respondent appeared through its attorney, Keith D. McGoffin.

Witnesses were sworn and testified. Exhibits were examined. From this the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes these

## FINDINGS OF FACT

I

Respondent, pursuant to RCW 43.218.260, has filed with this Board a certified copy of its Regulation I and amendments thereto, of which we take official notice. Section 9.15(c) of Regulation I makes it unlawful for any person to cause or permit an untreated open area within a private lot to be maintained without taking reasonable precautions to prevent particulate matter from becoming airborne. Particulate matter includes dust. Section 1.07 (cc).

II

On May 14, 1981, a sunny and windy day in Tacoma, appellant's employees were excavating a construction site using customary equipment, but without hoses or watering trucks, causing or permitting an open area to be maintained without taking reasonable precautions to prevent dust particulate matter from becoming airborne. Citizens complained to respondent agency. After investigation and observation of airborne dust by the inspector notice of violation issued, which was followed by a \$250 civil penalty.

III

In the spring of 1981 appellant experienced problems maintaining the subject construction site in conditions of very wet weather.

-6

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW & ORDER

ΙV

Appellant has no previous record of violations or receipt of civil penalties from respondent agency, having only had a few courtesy conversations regarding construction site management for the prevention of air pollution.

V

Any Finding of Fact which should be deemed a Conclusion of Law is hereby adopted as such.

From these Findings the Board comes to these

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I

The Board has jurisdiction over these persons and these matters under RCW 43.21B, and PSAPCA Regulation I, Article 3 and Article 8.

II

Appellant, Active Construction, Inc., through its employees, did cause or permit an open area not to be maintained with reasonable precaution, such as to prevent dust particulate matter from becoming airborne on May 14, 1981.

III

A violation of PSAPCA Regulation I, Section 9.15 occurred and was cited, following complaints and a site inspection by one of respondent agency's inspectors, in accordance with Regulation I guidelines and agency enforcement practices. A penalty of up to \$250 could be assessed. Section 3.29. The violation was appellant's first, however, and the penalty should be mitigated.

IV Any Conclusion of Law which should be deemed a Finding of Fact is hereby adopted as such. From these Conclusions the Board makes this ORDER The violation and \$250 civil penalty is affirmed, provided, however, that \$125 of the penalty is suspended on condition that appellant not violate respondent's regulations for a twelve-month period from the date of this Order. DATED this 28th day of Aestenber, 1981. POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW & ORDER